

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TEXARKANA DIVISION

FILED-CLERK  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
02 JUN -5 PM 3:57  
TEXAS EASTERN

DATATREASURY CORPORATION,  
*Plaintiff,*

CIVIL ACTION NO.

v.

J.P. MORGAN CHASE & CO.,  
J.P. MORGAN CHASE BANK, and  
AFFILIATED COMPUTER SERVICES, INC.  
*Defendants.*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

502CV124

**PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

**I. THE PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff DataTreasury Corporation ("DataTreasury") is a Delaware corporation that maintains its principal place of business at 175 Pinelawn Drive, Second Floor, Melville, New York 11747.

2. Defendant J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. is a corporation that is incorporated in the State of Delaware, and has a principal place of business in the State of New York. Service of process may be effected by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to the president, vice president, or registered agent, or to an officer, a managing or general agent, or to any other agent authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process at 270 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10017-2070.

3. Defendant J.P. Morgan Chase Bank is a corporation that is incorporated in the State of New York, and has a principal place of business in the State of New York. Service of process may be effected by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to the president, vice president, or registered agent, or to an officer, a managing or general agent, or to any other

agent authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process at Two Chase Manhattan Plaza, Floor 14, New York, New York 10081.

4. Defendant Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. is a corporation incorporated in the State of Delaware, and has a principal place of business in the State of Texas. Service of process may be effected by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to the president, vice president, or registered agent, or to an officer, a managing or general agent, or to any other agent authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process at 2828 North Haskell, Dallas, Texas 75204.

## II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. The Court's jurisdiction over this action is proper under the above statutes, including 35 U.S.C. § 271 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 1338.

6. Personal jurisdiction exists generally over each of the Defendants because each has sufficient minimum contact with the forum as a result of business conducted within the State of Texas and within the Eastern District of Texas. Personal jurisdiction also exists specifically over each of the Defendants because of Defendants' conduct in making, using, selling, offering to sell, and/or importing infringing products and practices within the State of Texas and within the Eastern District of Texas.

7. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and (d), as well as 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

### **III. PATENT INFRINGEMENTS**

8. DataTreasury is the owner as assignee of all rights, title, and interest in and under United States Patent No. 5,910,988 (“the ‘988 Patent”), which duly and legally issued on June 8, 1999, with Claudio Ballard as the named inventor, for an invention in a remote image capture with centralized processing and storage.

9. DataTreasury is the owner as assignee of all rights, title, and interest in and under United States Patent No. 6,032,137 (“the ‘137 Patent”), which duly and legally issued on February 29, 2000, with Claudio Ballard as the named inventor, for an invention in a remote image capture with centralized processing and storage.

10. Defendants have been and are infringing the ‘988 Patent and the ‘137 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into the United States, without authority, products and practices that fall within the scope of the claims of the ‘988 Patent and the ‘137 Patent. Defendants will continue to infringe the ‘988 Patent and the ‘137 Patent unless enjoined by this Court. DataTreasury is without an adequate remedy at law.

11. Defendants’ infringements of the ‘988 Patent and the ‘137 Patent have been and are willful.

12. Defendants have been and are actively inducing and/or contributing to the infringements of the ‘988 Patent and the ‘137 Patent by others.

13. This is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285.

### **IV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

DataTreasury respectfully requests the following relief:

A. That the Court declare that the '988 Patent and the '137 Patent are valid and enforceable and that they are infringed by Defendants;

B. That the Court enter a preliminary and thereafter a permanent injunction against Defendants' direct infringements of the '988 Patent and the '137 Patent;

C. That the Court enter a preliminary and thereafter a permanent injunction against Defendants' active inducements of infringement and/or contributory infringements of the '988 Patent and the '137 Patent by others;

D. That the Court award damages to DataTreasury to which it is entitled for patent infringement;


E. That the Court award interest on the damages to DataTreasury;

F. That the Court treble all damages and interest for willful infringement;

G. That the Court award to DataTreasury its costs and attorneys fees incurred in this action; and

H. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael W. Shore, Attorney-in-Charge  
Texas Bar No. 18294915  
Alfonso Garcia Chan  
Texas Bar No. 24012408  
**SHORE \_ DEARY, L.L.P.**  
2515 McKinney Avenue, Suite 1565  
Dallas, Texas 75201  
tel. 214-360-9622  
fax 214-739-3879

Edward L. Hohn  
Texas Bar No. 09813240  
**NIX PATTERSON & ROACH, L.L.P.**  
205 Linda Drive  
Daingerfield, Texas 75638  
tel. 903-645-7333  
fax 903-645-4415

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF DATATREASURY CORPORATION**